

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 08/25/2003 William R. Jackson 316_011 3691 10/647,860 EXAMINER 20874 7590 11/18/2004 WALL MARJAMA & BILINSKI FRIDIE JR, WILLMON 101 SOUTH SALINA STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 400 SYRACUSE, NY 13202 3722

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/647	7,860	JACKSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit	
		n Fridie	3722	
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	nmunication appears on	the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMITIES OF THE STATE OF THE STATE OF THE STATE OF THIS OF T	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no s communication. thirty (30) days, a reply within the mum statutory period will apply an or reply will, by statute, cause the tooths after the mailing date of this	o event, however, may a reply be tin statutory minimum of thirty (30) day and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 August 20	003		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
3) Since this application is in cond				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 8 is/are rejected 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-7, 9 and 10 is/are ob 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to re	is/are withdrawn from i. bjected to.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to	-	ble shieded to by the	Evernines	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a c a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the pri 2. Certified copies of the pri 3. Copies of the certified copies of the Interest See the attached detailed Office	of: conty documents have be conty documents have be pies of the priority documents have be particular to the priority documents of the priority documents on the priority documents of the priority docu	neen received. Heen received in Applicati Hents have been receive Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi B) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi B) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi Information Disclosure Statement (s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ew (PTO-948) .49 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/647,860

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Braasch.

Braasch discloses all of the subject matter as set forth in the claims and is identical to the invention as broadly recited. Some of the claimed elements clearly disclosed by the reference are: a tubular hub (12), a face plate (14), a plurality of blade holders (44), a blade (46), a drive means (42) and a gear means (52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Art Unit: 3722

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Braasch in view of Lin.

Braasch discloses the claimed invention except for an indexing feed means. Lin teaches that it is well known in the art to use an indexing feed mechanism in a centrifugal cutter. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Braasch with an indexing feed mechanism in order to firmly secure the workpiece.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-7,9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure..

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willmon Fridie whose telephone number is 703-308

1866. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea Wellington can be reached on 703 -308-2159. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3722

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

wf

WILLMON FRIDIE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER